## Machine Learning: Topic Chart

- Core problems of pattern recognition
- Bayesian decision theory
- Perceptrons and support vector machines
- Data clustering
- Dimension reduction


## Linear Classification



## Generalized Linear Discriminant Functions

Linear Discriminant Functions can be written as

$$
g(x)=w_{0}+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} w_{i} x_{i}=\left(w_{0}, w\right)(1, x)^{T}=: a^{T} y .
$$

with generalized coordinates $y=(1, x)^{T}, a=\left(w_{0}, w\right)^{T}$.
Note that the generalized separating hyperplanes contain the origin of the $y$-space!

Quadratic Discriminant Functions have the form

$$
g(x)=w_{0}+\sum_{i \leq d} w_{i} x_{i}+\sum_{i \leq d} \sum_{j \leq d} w_{i j} x_{i} x_{j} .
$$



The quadratic map $y=\left(1, x, x^{2}\right)^{T}$ transforms a line in a parabola in three dimensions. A planar split in $y$-space corresponds to a partitioning in $x$ space which is not simply connected.

## Linear Separable Two Class Case

## Linear Separability:

$$
\exists\left(w_{0}, w\right)^{T} \text { with } \begin{cases}w^{T} x_{i}+w_{0}>0 & \text { for } y_{i}=1 \\ w^{T} x_{i}+w_{0}<0 & \text { for } y_{i}=2\end{cases}
$$



Problem: The solution vector is not unique!

## The Margin Idea in the Linear Separable Two Class Case

Idea: Introduce a margin $m$ to classify data with a "safe distance" from the decision boundary, i.e., $z_{i}\left(w^{T} x_{i}+w_{0}\right) \geq m>0$.

Regularization classifier!



## The Perceptron Criterion

$$
\text { (in generalized coordinates } y=(1, x)^{T}, a=\left(w_{0}, w\right)^{T} \text { ) }
$$

Problem: Solve the inequalities $a^{T} y_{i}>0, \forall i$
Criterion Functions: $J\left(a ; y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\ldots$

- ... number of misclassified samples: poor choice since $J$ is piecewise constant! No gradient!
- ... sum of violating projections.


## Perceptron Criterion:

$$
J_{p}(a)=\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}}\left(-a^{T} y\right)
$$

$\mathcal{Y}$ is the set of misclassified samples.
Perceptron Rule: $\quad \Rightarrow a(k+1)=a(k)+\eta(k) \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} y$

## Perceptron Algorithm (Batch Version)

Require: initialize $a, \theta, \eta(\cdot)$
1: $k \longleftarrow 0$
2: repeat
3: $\quad a \leftarrow a+\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \eta(k) y$
4: $\quad k \leftarrow k+1$
5: until $\left|\eta(k) \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} y\right|<\theta$

Fixed-Increment Single Sample Perceptron
Require: initialize $a, k \leftarrow 0$
1: repeat


2: $\quad k \leftarrow(k+1) \bmod n$
3: if $y^{k}$ is misclassified by $a$ then
4: $\quad a \leftarrow a+y^{k}$
5: end if
6: until all patterns are correctly classified


## Perzeptron



## Bedienungshinweise

Klicken Sie auf 'Weiter' um mit der schrittweisen Klassifikation fortzufahren

## Perceptron Convergence

Theorem: If the training samples are linearly separable, then the sequence of weight vectors $a \leftarrow a+y^{k}$ will terminate at a solution vector.

Proof: Let $\hat{a}$ be a solution vector, i.e., $\hat{a}^{T} y_{i}>0, \forall i$ and let $\alpha>0$ be a scaling factor. Then it holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(k+1)-\alpha \hat{a} & =a(k)-\alpha \hat{a}+y^{k} \\
\Rightarrow\|a(k+1)-\alpha \hat{a}\|^{2} & =\|a(k)-\alpha \hat{a}\|^{2}+2(a(k)-\alpha \hat{a})^{T} y^{k}+\left\|y^{k}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $y^{k}$ was misclassified the inequality $a^{T}(k) y^{k} \leq 0$ holds.

$$
\Rightarrow\|a(k+1)-\alpha \hat{a}\|^{2} \leq\|a(k)-\alpha \hat{a}\|^{2}-2 \underbrace{\hat{a}^{T} y^{k}}_{>0}+\left\|y^{k}\right\|^{2}
$$

$\hat{a}^{T} y^{k}$ dominates $\left\|y^{k}\right\|^{2}$ for sufficiently large $\alpha$.

Defs.: $\beta^{2}:=\max _{i}\left\|y_{i}\right\|^{2}, \gamma:=\min _{i}\left(\hat{a}^{T} y^{i}\right)>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow\|a(k+1)-\alpha \hat{a}\|^{2} & \leq\|a(k)-\alpha \hat{a}\|^{2}-2 \alpha \gamma+\beta^{2} \\
& =\|a(k)-\alpha \hat{a}\|^{2}-\beta^{2} \text { for } \alpha=\beta^{2} / \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

The algorithm converges since $\|a(k+1)-\alpha \hat{a}\|^{2}$ decreases at least by the constant $\beta^{2}$ and every error will be corrected.

## Bound on the Number of Update Steps:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|a(k+1)-\alpha \hat{a}\|^{2} & \leq\|a(1)-\alpha \hat{a}\|^{2}-k \beta^{2}=0 \\
\Rightarrow k_{0} & =\frac{\|a(1)-\alpha \hat{a}\|^{2}}{\beta^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose $a(1)=0 \quad \Rightarrow k_{0}=\frac{\alpha^{2}\|\hat{a}\|^{2}}{\beta^{2}}=\frac{\beta^{2}\|\hat{a}\|^{2}}{\gamma^{2}}=\frac{\max _{i}\left\|y_{i}\right\|^{2}\|\hat{a}\|^{2}}{\min _{i}\left(\hat{a}^{T} y^{i}\right)^{2}}$

Remark: Examples orthogonal to the solution vector are difficult to learn!

## Limitations of Single-Layer Perceptrons

(Minsky \& Pappert 1969)


Theorem: A size limited perceptron cannot decide in all cases if parts of a figure are connected or separate.

Proof: The problem is reduced to the XOR problem which is not linearly separable.
$\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are detectors which recognize vertical bars in the upper left and right corner.

## Truth Table for the connectivity problem.



Simple (single layer) perceptrons can be trained efficiently since classification errors can be "blamed" to components of the weight vector a in a direct way. Credit Assignment!

## Support Vector Machine (SVM)

Extending the perceptron idea: use a linear classifier with margin and a non-linear feature transformation.


## Nonlinear Transformation in Kernel Space



## Lagrangian Optimization Theory

Optimization under constraints (Primal Problem):
Given an optimization problem with domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\operatorname{minimize} & f(\mathbf{w}), & \mathbf{w} \in \Omega \\
\text { subject to } & g_{i}(\mathbf{w}) \leq 0, & i=1, \ldots, k \\
& h_{i}(\mathbf{w})=0, & i=1, \ldots, m
\end{array}
$$

The generalized Lagrangian function is defined as

$$
L(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})=f(\mathbf{w})+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i} g_{i}(\mathbf{w})+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_{i} h_{i}(\mathbf{w})
$$

## Kuhn-Tucker Conditions (1951)

Theorem: Given an optimization problem with convex domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\operatorname{minimize} & f(\mathbf{w}), & \mathbf{w} \in \Omega \\
\text { subject to } & g_{i}(\mathbf{w}) \leq 0, \quad i=1, \ldots, k \\
& h_{i}(\mathbf{w})=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, m
\end{array}
$$

with $f \in C^{1}$ convex and $g_{i}, h_{i}$ affine, necessary and sufficient conditions for a normal point $\mathbf{w}^{*}$ to be an optimum are the existence of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial L\left(\mathbf{w}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{w}}=0 \quad \frac{\partial L\left(\mathbf{w}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*}\right)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}}=0 \\
\alpha_{i}^{*} g_{i}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*}\right)=0, \quad g_{i}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*}\right) \leq 0, \quad \alpha_{i}^{*} \geq 0, \quad i=1, \ldots, k
\end{gathered}
$$

## Support Vector Machines (SVM)

Idea: linear classifier with margin and feature transformation.
Transformation from original feature space to nonlinear feature space.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{y}_{i}=\phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right) \quad \text { e.g. Polynomial, Radial Basis Function, ... } \\
& \phi: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{e} \text { with } d \ll e \\
& z_{i}=\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ + 1 } \\
{ - 1 }
\end{array} \text { if } \mathbf { x } _ { i } \text { in class } \left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{1} \\
y_{2}
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Training vectors should be linearly separable after mapping! Linear discriminant function:

$$
g(\mathbf{y})=\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{y}+w_{0}
$$

## Support Vector Machine (SVM)

Find hyperplane that maximizes the margin $m$ with

$$
z_{i} g\left(\mathbf{y}_{i}\right)=z_{i}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{y}+w_{0}\right) \geq m \quad \text { for all } \mathbf{y}_{i} \in \mathcal{Y}
$$



Vectors $\mathbf{y}_{i}$ with $z_{i} g\left(\mathbf{y}_{i}\right)=m$ are the support vectors.

## Maximal Margin Classifier

Invariance: assume that the weight vector w is normalized $(\|\mathbf{w}\|=1)$ since a rescaling $\left(\mathbf{w}, w_{0}\right) \leftarrow\left(\lambda \mathbf{w}, \lambda w_{0}\right), m \leftarrow \lambda m$ does not change the problem.

Condition: $\quad z_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}+1 & \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{i}+w_{0} \geq m \\ -1 & \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{i}+w_{0} \leq-m\end{array} \quad \forall i\right.$
Objective: maximize margin $m$ s.t. joint condition $z_{i}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{i}+w_{0}\right) \geq m$ is met.

Learning problem: Find $\mathbf{w}$ with $\|\mathrm{w}\|=1$, such that the margin $m$ is maximized.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{maximize} & m \\
\text { subject to } & \forall \mathbf{y}_{i} \in \mathcal{Y}: z_{i}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{i}+w_{0}\right) \geq m
\end{array}
$$

## SVM Learning

What is the margin $m$ ?
Consider two points $\mathrm{y}^{+}, \mathbf{y}^{-}$ of class 1,2 which are located on both sides of the margin boundaries.

## Transformation of objective:

rescaling $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{w}}{m}, w_{0} \leftarrow \frac{w_{0}}{m} \Rightarrow$ yields the constraints
$z_{i}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{i}+w_{0}\right) \geq 1$

## Margin:

$m=\frac{1}{2\|\mathbf{w}\|}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{y}^{+}-\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{y}^{-}\right)=\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{w}\|}$

$m=\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{w}\|}$ follows from inserting $\pm\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{y}^{ \pm}+w_{0}\right)=1$
$\Rightarrow$ maximizing the margin corresponds to minimizing the norm \|w\| for margin $m=1$.

## SVM Lagrangian

Minimize $|\mid \mathbf{w} \|$ for a given margin $m=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tinimize} & =\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{w} \\
\text { subject to } & z_{i}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{i}+w_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Generalized Lagrange Function:

$$
L\left(\mathbf{w}, w_{0}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{w}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left[z_{i}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{i}+w_{0}\right)-1\right]
$$

Functional and geometric margin: The problem formulation with margin $m=1$ is called the functional margin setting; The original formulation refers to the geometric margin.

## Stationarity of Lagrangian

## Extremality condition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial L\left(\mathbf{w}, w_{0}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{w}}=\mathbf{w}-\sum_{i \leq n} \alpha_{i} z_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i}=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{w}=\sum_{i \leq n} \alpha_{i} z_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i} \\
& \frac{\partial L\left(\mathbf{w}, w_{0}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}\right)}{\partial w_{0}}=-\sum_{i \leq n} \alpha_{i} z_{i}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Resubstituting $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{w}}=0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{0}}=0$ into the Lagrangian function $L\left(\mathbf{w}, w_{0}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\mathbf{w}, w_{0}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{w}-\sum_{i \leq n} \alpha_{i}\left[z_{i}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{i}+w_{0}\right)-1\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \leq n} \sum_{j \leq n} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} z_{i} z_{j} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{j}-\sum_{i \leq n} \sum_{j \leq n} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} z_{i} z_{j} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{j}+\sum_{i \leq n} \alpha_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i \leq n} \alpha_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \leq n} \sum_{j \leq n} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} z_{i} z_{j} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{j} \quad \text { (note the scalar product!) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dual Problem

The Dual Problem for support vector learning is
maximize $W(\boldsymbol{\alpha})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{i} z_{j} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{j}$
subject to $\forall i \alpha_{i} \geq 0 \quad \wedge \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i} \alpha_{i}=0$
The optimal hyperplane $\mathbf{w}^{*}, w_{0}^{*}$ is given by

$$
\mathbf{w}^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}^{*} z_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i}, \quad w_{0}^{*}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\min _{i: z_{i}=1} \mathbf{w}^{* \mathrm{~T}} \mathbf{y}_{i}+\max _{i: z_{i}=-1} \mathbf{w}^{* \mathrm{~T}} \mathbf{y}_{i}\right)
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}$ are the optimal Lagrange multipliers maximizing the Dual Problem.

## Support Vectors

The Kuhn-Tucker Conditions for the maximal margin SVM are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{i}^{*}\left(z_{i} g^{*}\left(\mathbf{y}_{i}\right)-1\right) & =0, & & i=1, \ldots, n \\
\alpha_{i}^{*} & \geq 0, & & i=1, \ldots, n \\
z_{i} g^{*}\left(\mathbf{y}_{i}\right)-1 & \geq 0, & & i=1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

The first one is known as the Kuhn-Tucker complementary condition. The conditions imply

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
z_{i} g^{*}\left(\mathbf{y}_{i}\right)=1 & \Rightarrow \alpha_{i}^{*} \geq 0 & \text { Support Vectors (SV) } \\
z_{i} g^{*}\left(\mathbf{y}_{i}\right) \neq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha_{i}^{*}=0 & \text { Non Support Vectors }
\end{array}
$$

## Optimal Decision Function

## Sparsity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{*}(\mathbf{y}) & =\mathbf{w}^{* \top} \mathbf{y}+w_{0}^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i} \alpha_{i}^{*} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{y}+w_{0}^{*} \\
& =\sum_{i \in \mathrm{SV}} z_{i} \alpha_{i}^{*} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{y}+w_{0}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark: only few support vectors enter the sum to evaluate the decision function! $\Rightarrow$ efficiency and interpretability

Optimal margin: $\quad \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{w}=\sum_{i \in \mathrm{SV}} \alpha_{i}^{*}$

## Soft Margin SVM

For each trainings vector $\mathbf{y}_{i} \in \mathcal{Y}$ a slack variable $\xi_{i}$ is introduced to measure the violation of the margin constraint.

Find hyperplane that maximizes the margin $z_{i} g^{*}\left(\mathbf{y}_{i}\right) \geq m\left(1-\xi_{i}\right)$


Vectors $\mathbf{y}_{i}$ with $z_{i} g^{*}\left(\mathbf{y}_{i}\right)=m\left(1-\xi_{i}\right)$ are called support vectors.

## Learning the Soft Margin SVM

Slack variables are penalized by $L_{1}$ norm.
minimize $\quad \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\xi})=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{w}+C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}$
subject to $z_{i}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{i}+w_{0}\right) \geq 1-\xi_{i}$

$$
\xi_{i} \geq 0
$$

$C$ controls the amount of constraint violations vs. margin maximization!
Lagrange function for soft margin SVM

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\mathbf{w}, w_{0}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\boldsymbol{\top}} \mathbf{w}+C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left[z_{i}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{i}+w_{0}\right)-1+\xi_{i}\right]-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} \xi_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stationarity of Primal Problem

## Differentiation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial L\left(\mathbf{w}, w_{0}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{w}}=\mathbf{w}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} z_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i}=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{w}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} z_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i} \\
& \frac{\partial L\left(\mathbf{w}, w_{0}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}{\partial \xi_{i}}=C-\alpha_{i}-\beta_{i}=0 \quad \frac{\partial L\left(\mathbf{w}, w_{0}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}{\partial b}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} z_{i}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Resubstituting into the Lagrangian function $L\left(\mathbf{w}, w_{0}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\mathbf{w}, w_{0}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{w}+C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left[z_{i}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{i}+w_{0}\right)-1+\xi_{i}\right]-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} \xi_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\mathbf{w}, w_{0}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} z_{i} z_{j} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{j}+C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} z_{i} z_{j} \mathbf{y}_{j}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{j} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\xi_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} \xi_{i} \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} z_{i} z_{j} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{j} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{\left.C-\beta_{i}\right) \xi_{i}}_{=\frac{\partial L}{}\left(\frac{\sigma_{i}}{\partial_{i}}=0\right.} \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} z_{i} z_{j} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Constaints of the Dual Problem

The dual objective function is the same as for the maximal margin SVM. The only difference is the constraint

$$
C-\alpha_{i}-\beta_{i}=0
$$

Together with $\beta_{i} \geq 0$ it implies

$$
\alpha_{i} \leq C
$$

The Kuhn-Tucker complementary conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{i}\left(z_{i}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{i}+w_{0}\right)-1+\xi_{i}\right) & =0, & & i=1, \ldots, n \\
\xi_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}-C\right) & =0, & & i=1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

imply that nonzero slack variables can only occur when $\alpha_{i}=C$.

## Dual Problem of Soft Margin SVM

The Dual Problem for support vector learning is

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\operatorname{maximize} & W(\boldsymbol{\alpha})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{i} z_{j} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{j} \\
\text { subject to } & \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j} \alpha_{j}=0 \wedge \forall i C \geq \alpha_{i} \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

The optimal hyperplane $\mathrm{w}^{*}$ is given by

$$
\mathbf{w}^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}^{*} z_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i}
$$

where $\alpha^{*}$ are the optimal Lagrange multipliers maximizing the Dual Problem.

Only for support vectors it holds $\alpha_{i}^{*}>0$
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Klassifikation beendet

## Linear Programming Support Vector Machines

Idea: Minimize an estimate of the number of positive multipliers $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}$ which improves bounds on the generalization error.

The Lagrangian for the LP-SVM is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} & W(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \xi)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}+C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} \\
\text { subject to } & z_{i}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{j}+w_{0}\right] \geq 1-\xi_{i} \\
& \alpha_{i} \geq 0, \xi_{i} \geq 0,1 \leq i \leq n
\end{aligned}
$$

Advantage: efficient LP solver can be used.
Disadvantage: theory is not as well understood as for standard SVMs.

## Non-Linear SVMs

Feature extraction by non linear transformation $\mathbf{y}=\phi(\mathbf{x})$
Problem:

$$
\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{y}_{j}=\phi^{\top}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right) \phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}\right)
$$

is the inner product in a high dimensional space.
A kernel function is defined by

$$
\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \in \Omega: \quad K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})=\phi^{\top}(\mathbf{x}) \phi(\mathbf{z})
$$

Using the kernel function the discriminant function becomes

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} z_{i} K\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}\right)
$$

## Characterization of Kernels

For a symmetric matrix $\left.K\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right)\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$ (Gram matrix) there exists an EV decomposition

$$
K=V \Lambda V^{\top}
$$

$V$ : orthogonal matrix of eigenvectors $\left.\left(v_{t i}\right)\right|_{i=1} ^{n}$
$\Lambda$ : diagonal matrix of eigenvalues $\lambda_{t}$
Assume all eigenvalues are nonnegative and consider mapping

$$
\phi: \mathbf{x}_{i} \rightarrow\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{t}} v_{t i}\right)_{t=1}^{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, i=1, \ldots, n
$$

Then it follows

$$
\phi^{\top}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right) \phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}\right)=\sum_{t=1}^{n} \lambda_{t} v_{t i} v_{t j}=\left(V \Lambda V^{\top}\right)_{i j}=K_{i j}=K\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right)
$$

## Positivity of Kernels

Theorem: Let $\Omega$ be a finite input space with $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ a symmetric function on $\Omega$. Then $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ is a kernel function if and only if the matrix

$$
K=\left(K\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right)\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}
$$

is positive semi-definite (has only non-negative eigenvalues).
Extension to infinite dimensional Hilbert Spaces:

$$
<\phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{z})>=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i} \phi_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \phi_{i}(\mathbf{z})
$$

## Mercer's Theorem

Theorem (Mercer): Let $\Omega$ be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Suppose $K$ is a continous symmetric function such that the integral operator $T_{k}: L_{2}(X) \rightarrow L_{2}(X)$,

$$
\left(T_{k} f\right)(\cdot)=\int_{\Omega} K(\cdot, \mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x},
$$

is positive, that is

$$
\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) f(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{z}) d \mathbf{x} d \mathbf{z}>0 \quad \forall f \in L_{2}(\Omega)
$$

Then we can expand $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ in a uniformly convergent series in terms of $T_{k}$ 's eigen-functions $\phi_{j} \in L_{2}(\Omega)$, with $\left\|\phi_{j}\right\|_{L_{2}}=1$ and $\lambda_{j}>0$.

## Possible Kernels

Remark: Each kernel function, that hold Mercer's conditions describes an inner product in a high dimensional space. The kernel function replaces the inner product.

## Possible Kernels:

a) $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})=\exp \left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z}\|^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right) \quad$ (RBF Kernel)
b) $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})=\tanh k \mathbf{x z}-b \quad$ (Sigmoid Kernel)
c) $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})=(\mathbf{x z})^{d} \quad$ (Polynomial Kernel) $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})=(\mathbf{x z}+1)^{d}$
d) $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ : string kernels for sequences

## Kernel Engineering

Kernel composition rules: Let $K_{1}, K_{2}$ be kernels over $\Omega \times$ $\Omega, \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}, a \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, f($.$) a real-vealued function \phi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{e}$ with $K_{3}$ a kernel over $\mathbb{R}^{e} \times \mathbb{R}^{e}$.

Then the following functions are kernels:

1. $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})=K_{1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})+K_{2}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$,
2. $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})=a K_{1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$,
3. $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})=K_{1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) K_{2}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$,
4. $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})=f(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{z})$,
5. $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})=K_{3}(\phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{z}))$,
6. $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})=p\left(K_{1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})\right),(p(x)$ is a polynomial with positive coefficients)
7. $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})=\exp \left(K_{1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})\right)$,

## Applet HTML Page



Fügen Sie im Eingabefenster neue Objekte zum Datensatz hinzu.

Starten Sie die Klassifikation durch Klicken auf 'Start'.

Klassifikation beendet

## Example: Hand Written Digit Recognition

- 7291 training images und 2007 test images (16x16 pixel, 256 gray values)


| Classification method | test error |
| :--- | :--- |
| human classification | $2.7 \%$ |
| perceptron | $5.9 \%$ |
| support vector machines | $4.0 \%$ |

