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## Motivation <br> Foreground Extraction: Say what?



## Motivation

The GrabCut Approach


# Introduction 

## Overview

## "Grab"



1. User marks object

2. User adjusts selection

## "Cut"


2. Intermediate Result

4. Final Result

## Introduction

## Aspirations

## The Goals...

- interactive foreground extraction
- high performance \& quality - minimal user input
- usability in non-trivial images
...can only be achieved with
- good user interface ( $\rightarrow$ rectangle / lasso)
- accurate segmentation ( $\rightarrow$ iterative graph cuts)
- convincing alpha values ( $\rightarrow$ border matting)


## Previous Approaches <br> Magic Wand



Input: Seed Pixel(s) + Tolerance


Output: Pixels within tolerance of seed pixels' colors statistics

## Previous Approaches Intelligent Scissors



Input: Points on segmentation boundary


Output: Pixels within minimum cost contour

## Previous Approaches <br> Graph Cut

## Graph Cut

- foundation of GrabCut $\rightarrow$ pay attention $)$
- uses boundary and region information
- segmentation: min-cut by energy minimization


Input: Clue-mark inside and outside region


Output: Pixels within "best" inside region

## Previous Approaches Graph Cut

## A little bit more detailed

1. Represent image as graph (weights $\sim 1 /$ energy)
2. Energy minimization
3. Find minimum cost path \& cut it Cost $=\sum$ (cut weights)


Sink (Background)

## The Algorithm

## GrabCut: extension of Graph Cut

- iterative optimization
- incomplete labelling
- simplified user interaction
- border matting


## Behind the Scenes

## Model

## Given

| fromN pixels <br> start$\left\{\begin{array}{\|c\|l\|}\hline \text { color array } & \underline{z}=\left(\underline{z}_{1}, \ldots, \underline{z}_{N}\right) \\ \hline \text { initial trimap } & T=\left\{T_{B}, T_{U}, T_{F}\right\} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { after } \\ \text { init }\end{array} & \text { initial alpha matte } \\ \hline \text { GMM components } & \underline{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{N}\right), \quad \alpha \in\{0,1\} \\ \hline \text { GMM array } & \underline{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}, \ldots, k_{N}\right), \quad b_{\mathrm{n}} \in\left\{1, \ldots, b_{K}\right. \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$ |
| :--- |

## Behind the Scenes

Model

## Wanted

| GMM parameters | $\Theta=\{\pi(\alpha, k), \mu(\alpha, k), \Sigma(\alpha, k)\}, \quad \alpha=0,1 ; k=1, \ldots, K$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| final alpha matte | $\underline{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{N}\right), \quad \alpha \in[0,1]$ |

Energy function $E=U+V$
U : fit of $\underline{\alpha}$ to $\underline{z}$ given GMM (color/region information)
V : smoothness term (boundary/edge information)

## Behind the Scenes

## Outline



## Behind the Scenes

 Trimap \& Alpha Matte
## Initialization

- Trimap
- Alpha matte
- GMMs


Trimap $T=\left\{T_{B}, T_{U}, T_{F}\right\}$
(background, unknown and foreground region)

1. user indicates background region $T_{B}$ (hard constraints!)
2. $T_{F}=\emptyset, T_{U}=T_{B}$

Alpha Matte $\underline{\alpha}$
(alpha value per pixel)

$$
\alpha_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & n \in T_{B} \\
1 & & n \in T_{U}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Behind the Scenes
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2. $T_{F}=\emptyset, T_{U}=T_{B}$

Alpha Matte $\underline{\alpha}$
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## Behind the Scenes

## Gaussian Mixture Models

## Initialization

- Trimap
- Alpha matte
- GMMs


Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)

- approximation of color distribution
- weighted sum of K gaussians
- parameters: weights $\pi$, means $\mu$, covariances $\Sigma$
- in color space


## In GrabCut

- K = 5
- 1 foreground GMM, 1 background GMM

1. fit bg GMM to colors of pixels with $\alpha=0$
2. fit fg GMM to colors of pixels with $\alpha=1$

## Behind the Scenes

## Energy function revisited

## Iterative Minimization

1. Assign GMMs to pixels
2. Adapt GMM parameters
3. Estimate Segmentation
4. Apply border matting

the better GMMs, the lower U

## Energy function

$$
E(\alpha, k, \Theta, z)=U(\alpha, k, \Theta, z)+V(\alpha, z)
$$

U : fit of $\underline{\alpha}$ to $\underline{z}$ given GMM (region information)
V : smoothness term (boundary/edge information)

$$
V=\gamma \sum_{(m, n) \in C}\left[\alpha_{n} \neq \alpha_{m}\right] \underbrace{}_{\text {penalize low color difference }} e^{\left(-\frac{\left\|z_{m}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)}
$$

neighboring pixels at segmentation boundary with similar color get punished

## Behind the Scenes

## Iterative Minimization

1. Assign GMMs to pixels
2. Adapt GMM parameters
3. Estimate Segmentation
4. Apply border matting

## Iterative Minimization

while (!converged) \{

1. Fill $\underline{k}$ : for each pixel, find best GMM component
2. Find best GMM parameters $\underline{\Theta}(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{k})$
3. Perform Graph Cut
4. Minimize energy $\min _{\left\{\alpha_{n}: n \in T_{U}\right\}} \min _{k} E(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{k}, \underline{\Theta}, \underline{z})$
5. Cut $\rightarrow$ adjust $\underline{\alpha}$
\}

## Behind the Scenes

## Demo

## Iterative Minimization

1. Assign GMMs to pixels
2. Adapt GMM parameters
3. Estimate Segmentation
4. Apply border matting

Result


Energy
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## Behind the Scenes

## Border Matting

## Iterative Minimization

1. Assign GMMs to pixels
2. Adapt GMM parameters
3. Estimate Segmentation
4. Apply border matting


Border Matting

hard segmentation


soft segmentation

## Behind the Scenes

## Border Matting

## Iterative Minimization

1. Assign GMMs to pixels
2. Adapt GMM parameters
3. Estimate Segmentation
4. Apply border matting


## Border Matting

1. obtain C from hard segmentation, define w (here $\mathrm{w}=4$ )
2. $\forall \mathrm{pixel} \in T_{U}: \operatorname{assignt}(\mathrm{n})$
3. go along C , find best transition for every t (i.e. find $\Delta$ and $\sigma$ by energy minimization)

Avoid color bleeding

1. estimate foreground color
2. get closest "matching" color from foreground neighborhood

## Behind the Scenes

## Border Matting

## Iterative Minimization

1. Assign GMMs to pixels
2. Adapt GMM parameters
3. Estimate Segmentation
4. Apply border matting


## Border Matting

1. obtain C from hard segmentation, define w (here $\mathrm{w}=4$ )
2. $\left.\forall \mathrm{pixel} \in T_{U}: \operatorname{assignt} \mathrm{n}\right)$
3. go along C , find best transition for every t
(i.e. find $\Delta$ and $\sigma$ by energy minimization)

## Avoid color bleeding

1. estimate foreground color
2. get closest "matching" color from foreground neighborhood

## Behind the Scenes

## User Editing

```
User editing
User: fg / bg brush
GrabCut:
- update trimap
- step 3+4 once
```



User (fore- / background brush) adjust hard constraints

## GrabCut

- update trimap
- estimate new segmentation (\& apply border matting)


## Results

## Performance

## GrabCut

- Target rectangle: $450 \times 300$ pixels
- 2.5 GHz CPU, 512 MB RAM
- Initial segmentation: 0.9 sec
- after each brush stroke: 0.12 sec


## GrabCut vs. Graph Cut

- quality: perform comparably
- time: Graph Cut probably faster
- GrabCut fewer user interactions


## Results

## Problems

## Problems

- camouflage \& low contrast
- thin structures
- inadequate bg representation
- several objects
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## Conclusions

## The Algorithm

- fast
- good results in many cases
- nice ideas


## The Paper

- claims proven (Gimp-plugin by Matthew Marsh, MS Expression)
- rather minimalist explanations


## Conclusions

## Future Work

## Future Work

- 3D support
- video support
- combination of GrabCut with image completion



## Discussion

## Questions? Ideas?



